Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	narv	10/849,994	BOWEN, THOMAS C.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		David D. Le	3681
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .		(3)	
(2) Philip E. Rettig.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 January 2006		Time:	
	☐ Applicai No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 4, 11, 15, 19, and 21			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 09 January 2006, applicant's attorney telephonically elected the embodiment of Fig. 2 (claims 1-10) for examination. Accordingly, claims 11-21 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species.

On 23 January 2006, applicant's attorney authorizes examiner to amended the instant application as follows: Specification:

Page 8, line 6, "cutch engagement force" has been amended as --clutch engagement force--.

Claim 4

Line 2, "a locked four-wheel drive mode" has been amended as --a locked mode--.

Claims 11-21:

Claims 11-21 have been cancelled.